US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

199100

DATA EVALUATION

1. CHEMICAL: Cypermethrin

2. FORMULATION: 87.8 % active ingredient (Technical grade) cis: trans 53:47

3. CITATION: Roberts, N.L., C. Fairley, D. Chanter, A. McAllister, and R. Almond (1981) The effect of dietary inclusion of cypermethrin on reproduction in the bobwhite quail. Unpublished study submitted 12/28/81 by ICI Americas Inc., Wilmington, Delaware.

EPA Accession No. 07056/ MRID 00090074

4. REVIEWED BY: Thomas B. Johnston Biologist, EEB/HED

5. REVIEW DATE: 3/25/82

6. TEST TYPE: Avian Reproduction

7. REPORTED RESULTS: Cypermethrin levels of up to 50 ppm in the diet have no apparent effects on reproduction in bobwhite quail.

8. REVIEWER'S CONCLUSION: This study is scientifically sound, and fulfills USEPA guideline requirements for an avian reproduction test using an upland gamebird. Cypermethrin dietary levels of up to 50 ppm have no apparent effects on reproduction in bobwhite quail.

Statistics attacked to verify results + conclusion.

One Stavola 1/9/91

Materials/Methods

Protocol generally followed the requirements of USEPA proposed guidelines of 3/7/80. The adults used were young quail approaching their first breeding season. They were obtained from Lincolnshire Pheasantries, Boston, Lincolnshire, and were fed test or control diets for 19 weeks prior to commencement of the egglaying period, which lasted 12 weeks.

Statistical Analysis

The following parameters were analyzed: adult food consumption and body-weight, number of eggs laid and proportion damaged egg weight and shell thickness, number of infertiles, embryonic deaths, hatchings, 14-day-old survivors, and chick bodyweights at hatching and 14 days later. Analysis methods included standard two-way analysis of variance, variance ratios, and where appropriate, Student's t-tests and Williams' tests.

Discussion/Results

The final report by Huntingdon Research Center contained information that was used to construct the following table:

		Technica	l Cypermethrin (ppm)
	Controls	5	50
Eggs Laid ^a	563/841	486/830	436/910
Eggs Cracked or Broken ^a	118/151	51/92	76/161
Eggs Set	1014	1058	984
Viable Embryos	880	898	860
Live Three-Week Embryos	871	889	848
Normal Hatchlings	828	829	763
14-Day Old Survivors	573	565	568
Eggs Laid/Hen in 12 Weeksb	50	47	48
14-Day Old Survivors/Henb	20	20	20
Eggs Cracked of Eggs Laid (%)	19.2	10.9	17.6
Viable Embryos of Eggs Set (%) Live Three-Week Embryos of	86.8	84.9	87.4
Viable Embryos (%) Normal Hatchlings of Live	99.0	99.0	98.6
Three-Week Embryos (%) 14-Day Old Survivors of Normal	95.0	93.3	90.0
Hatchlings (%)	69.2	68.2	74.4

aWeeks 1-6/Weeks 7-12

aBased on 28 hens

Hatchlings - Bobwhite Quail

		Cypermethrin Tec	chnical (ppm)
	Controls		50
Number of Weighed Mean Body Weight (g)	813 6.4	818 6.6	759 6.4
14-Day Old Survivors - Bob	white Quail		
		Cypermethrin Tech	nical (ppm)
	Controls		50
Number of Weighed Mean Body Weight (g)	573 21.1	565 20.5	568 20.7
Egg,Weight and Eggshell Th	ickness - Bobwh	nite Quail	
		Cypermethrin Tech	nical (ppm)
	Controls	5	50
Number of Eggs Weighed/	1395/120	1308/132	1328/125
and Measured Mean Egg Weight (g) Mean Shell Thickness (mm)	10.1 .19	10.0 .18	9.9 .19
			,

Reviewer's Conclusions

<u>Validation</u> <u>Category:</u> Core

Category Rationale: N/A

Category Repairability: N/A

Cypermothrin

Bobwhite

•				SAS					1
			•	10:15	Wednes	day,	December	12,	1990
OBS	TRT	EL	EC	ES	VE	LE	NH	FH	
1	a	116	12	96	87	86	85	52	
2	a	118	25	80	77	76	68	3.4	
3	a	81	2	70	67	65	62	42	
4	a	99	21	68	42	42	37	23	
	a	121	25	85	82	81	78	57	
5 6	a	67	11		• 49	49	46	39	
7	a	138	39	86	85	85	82	54	
8	a	109	26	71	38	38	39	31	
9	a	120	13	93	71	69	62	50	
10	a	137	26	97	94	94	87	67	
11	a	91	18	65	58	57	50	43	
12	a	73	5	63	48	48	45	30	
13		73 70	17	48	41	41	35	21	4
14	a	64	16	42	42	42	38	30	
14 15	a h	96	7	79	46	46	40	33	*
	b	74	12	57	27	26	18	9	
16	b			90	76	72	64	32	*
17	b	111	9			66	60	44	
18	b	91	16	66	66 0.5		90	73	
19	b	120	10	99	95	94			
20	b	90	2	81	69	69	64	40	
21	b	110	8	92	86	86	78	42	
22	b	136	13	111	87	86	81	50	
23	b	117	11	94	89	. 87	82	65	
24	b	86	9	69	58	58	56	40	
25	b	47	7	34	34	34	34	29	
26	b	48	9	30	25	25	23	22	
27	b	111	8	94	88	88	77	47	
28	b	79	7	62	52	52	48	39	
29	C	74	11	56	42	42	41	31	
30	C	100	10	81	78	78	74	47	
31	C	85	. 9	69	66	66	48	41	
32	C	105	14	78	75	69	64	47	
33	C	83	16	60	47	47	41	32	
34	C	112	24	79	62	61	53	41	
35	C	102	10	80	70	70	66	51	
36	C	76	28	4.0	38	37	28	20	
37	C	113	24	75	72	72	69	47	
38	C	120	18	91	75	73	65	52	
39	c	68	6	55	49	49	45	33	
40	Ċ	114	10	93	82	82	79	65	
41	c .	111	16	83	73	72	57	40	
42	c	83	19	44	31	29	28	21	
7.4	•	1.			EL DATA				2
		⊥•			*****				=
					•				

10:15 Wednesday, December 12, 1990

General Linear Models Procedure
Class Level Information

C= DOLDEN

4

4

10:15 Wednesday, December 12, 1990

General Linear Models Procedure

Duncan's Multiple Range Test for variable: RESP

NOTE: This test controls the type I comparisonwise error rate, not the experimentwise error rate

Alpha= 0.05 df= 39 MSE= 552.4762

Number of Means 2 3 Critical Range 17.97 18.89

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

Duncan Grou	ping	Mean	N	TRT
(1) ERGAP	A A	100.286	14	a
	A A	96.143	14	C
Jail	A	94.000	14	b ,

Alpha= 0.05 df= 39 MSE= 49.27656

Number of Means 2 3 Critical Range 5.366 5.642

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

Duncan Groupin	ng	Mean	N	TRT
(2) Eggs.	A A	18.286	14	a
Chacked	A	15.357	14	c
	В	9.143	14	b

Alpha= 0.05 df= 39 MSE= 391.7363

Number of Means 2 3 Critical Range 15.13 15.91

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

	Duncan Gr	ouping	Mean	N	TRT	
(3)	C992	A A	75.571	14	b	,
	C 2 2	A A	72.429	14	a	
	JE-1	77	70 206	7 <i>A</i>	~	•

Alpha= 0.05 df= 39 MSE= 419.4377

Number of Means 2 3 Critical Range 15.66 16.46

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

Duncan Grouping	Mean N	TRT
4) Victe A	64.143 14	b ·
EN MAGOS A	62.929 14	a
À	61.429 14	C

Alpha= 0.05 df= 39 MSE= 409.3388

Number of Means 2 3 Critical Range 15.47 16.26

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

Duncan Grouping	Mean	N	TRT
(5) The	63.500	14	b
A	62.357	14	a
u di	60.500	14	C ,

Alpha= 0.05 df= 39 MSE= 384.9176

Number of Means 2 3 Critical Range 15.00 15.77

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

Duncan Grouping	Mean	N	TRT
munuella A	58.214	14	b
A	58.143	14	a
A A	54.143	14	c

General Linear Models Procedure

Duncan's Multiple Range Test for variable: RESP

NOTE: This test controls the type I comparisonwise error rate, not the experimentwise error rate

Alpha= 0.05 df= 39 MSE= 384.9176

Number of Means 2 3 Critical Range 15.00 15.77

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

Duncan Grouping	Mean N	TRT
# 14-day old A	58.214 14	b
Addicing A	58.143 14	a -
Ä	54.143 14	c